| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|---|--|
| | 10/064,259 | CHANG ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Habte Mered | 2616 |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: | _ |
| (1) <u>Habte Mered</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) Winston Hsu (Applicant's Agent). | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 16 August 2006 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description: | nt's representative) | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims 1 and 8-14 Prior art documents discussed: US 6, 460, 078 to Dove et al; US 5, 315, 597 to Yang et al; US 5, Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet Part III. | | S DISCUSSED: |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary | examiner will provide a writtenecord of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/ | Applicant's Representative Si | ignature – if appropriate) |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Regarding independednt claim 1 Examiner indicated to the Applicant's agent that it has been determined to be allowable but requires further clarification to clearly indicate the two instances of threshold cited in claim 1whether it refers to a single threshold or two different tresholds. Examiner further pointed out that claims 8-12 are anticipated by Dove et al, Yang et al, and Marum et al. Examiner further pointed out that independent claim 8 does not indicate how " transistion number of a signal" is determined. Finally, Examiner also indicated that dependent claims 14-17are allowable if rewritten as an independent claim. Applicant's agent agreed to provide a clarification to claim 1 in terms of clearly indicating how many different thresholds the claim is referring to. Applicant's agent also indicated that, in view of the Examiner's determination of allowable subject matter, he will fax a draft amendment to the Examiner after getting the consent of the Applicant. The Applicant agent indicated that after consulting with the Applicant he will amend claim 1 with respect to the number of thresholds involved and amend claim 13 to incorrporate the allowable subject matter of claims 14-17 and he will cancel claims 8-12. The Applicant agent after getting the Applicant's consent faxed the draft amendment with a new claims listing to the Examiner 24 hours after this interview. Since this Application is in condition for allowance the amended new claims listing is included as an attachment to the Notice of Allowability.